

Appl. No. 10/714,168  
Reply to Office Action of MAR 6, 2006

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS:**

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed 03/06/06. By said Action Claims 1-3 and 8-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lenkauskas; Claims 1-3 and 8-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Landers; Claims 1-3 and 8-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rowan; Claims 1-3 and 8-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hussein et al; and, Claims 4-7 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lenkauskas in view of Taylor and Giannuzzi. However, the Examiner indicated that Claims 12-40 are allowable.

By this Amendment, Claims 1, 4-7, 9 and 11 have been canceled. Claims 2-3, 8 and 10 have been previously canceled. Therefore, all presently pending claims are in condition for allowance, and such action as well as passage of this case to issue is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner has any further questions, or believes that a telephone interview would be helpful to the advancement of the prosecution of the subject application, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
LAWRENCE N. GINSBERG,  
Attorney for Applicant, Reg. No. 30,943

5/31/06  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE

21 San Antonio  
Newport Beach, CA 92660-9112

Tel. - 949-640-6261